



October 28, 2002

Ms. Linda L. Sjogren  
Assistant City Attorney  
The City of San Angelo  
P. O. Box 1751  
San Angelo, Texas 76902

OR2002-6104

Dear Ms. Sjogren:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 171352.

The San Angelo Police Department (the "department") received a written request for various records pertaining to two deceased individuals. You state that some of the responsive information has been released to the requestor. We also note that this office has previously ruled on the extent to which most of the information at issue is subject to required public disclosure. *See* Open Records Letter No. 2002-5080 (2002). Therefore, because the four criteria for a "previous determination" established by this office in Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001) have been met, the department may continue to withhold that information in accordance with Open Records Ruling No. 2002-5080 (2002).<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we need not further address those particular documents. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001). You contend, however, that other information coming within the scope of the current request is excepted from required disclosure pursuant to section 552.119 of the Government Code.

---

<sup>1</sup>The four criteria for this type of "previous determination" are 1) the records or information at issue are precisely the same records or information that were previously submitted to this office pursuant to section 552.301(e)(1)(D) of the Government Code; 2) the governmental body which received the request for the records or information is the same governmental body that previously requested and received a ruling from the attorney general; 3) the attorney general's prior ruling concluded that the precise records or information are or are not excepted from disclosure under the Act; and 4) the law, facts, and circumstances on which the prior attorney general ruling was based have not changed since the issuance of the ruling. *See* Open Records Decision No. 673 (2001).

Section 552.119 of the Government Code excepts from public disclosure a photograph of a peace officer<sup>2</sup> that, if released, would endanger the life or physical safety of the officer unless one of three exceptions applies. The three exceptions are: (1) the officer is under indictment or charged with an offense by information; (2) the officer is a party in a fire or police civil service hearing or a case in arbitration; or (3) the photograph is introduced as evidence in a judicial proceeding. This section also provides that a photograph exempt from disclosure under this section may be made public only if the peace officer gives written consent to the disclosure. Open Records Decision No. 502 (1988). In this instance, however, the submitted copies of photographs do not depict a peace officer in a manner that would serve to identify that officer. We therefore conclude that the submitted photographs may not be withheld under section 552.119. Because you have not raised any other applicable exception to disclosure for the photographs, we conclude that they must be released to the requestor.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at 877/673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

---

<sup>2</sup>"Peace officer" is defined by article 2.12 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Department of Public Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.--Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at 512/475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,



Karen A. Eckerle  
Assistant Attorney General  
Open Records Division

KAE/RWP/lmt

Ref: ID# 171352

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Dick J. Reavis  
Senior Reporter  
San Antonio Express - News  
P. O. Box 2171  
San Antonio, Texas 78297-2171  
(w/o enclosures)